

Quetiapine: Another Drug With Potential for Misuse? A Case Report

Sir: The introduction of atypical antipsychotics in the early 1990s opened a new era in the management of schizophrenia and bipolar disorders.¹ Although not officially approved, some of these agents have lately been tried for the adjunctive treatment of substance abuse.²⁻⁵

Several studies suggest that quetiapine may reduce craving in alcohol-dependent individuals.^{3,5-7} To date, there are no reports of quetiapine misuse in this context. Herein, we report a case of quetiapine misuse in a patient with alcohol and benzodiazepine dependence.

Case report. Mr. A, a 48-year-old man, was admitted to our clinic in 2006 for treatment of alcohol and benzodiazepine abuse (DSM-IV criteria). The patient was first prescribed benzodiazepines and antidepressants at the age of 23 years when apparently he had been diagnosed as suffering from generalized anxiety disorder. Over the next 10 years, the patient gradually developed tolerance to bromazepam, and the dosage slowly escalated to 12 to 24 mg/day. After Mr. A had a depressive reaction to adverse life events, benzodiazepine abuse was complicated with heavy alcohol drinking. The patient soon developed tolerance to alcohol, too, and eventually consumed more than a bottle of whiskey every evening. In a short period, a vicious circle developed of alcohol and high doses of bromazepam being successively abused. This pattern of abuse lasted for almost 15 years, until he was first admitted to our clinic, a year ago, for a 1-month alcohol detoxification.

At discharge, the patient was symptom-free and abstinent from alcohol and bromazepam; quetiapine 100 mg/day, clonazepam 2 mg/day, and vitamins had been prescribed at the beginning of the detoxification program, and he was referred for follow-up to the hospital's specialized outpatient drug addiction service. Clonazepam was prescribed as an anxiolytic for its long half-life and lower potential for abuse, and quetiapine for its purported anti-craving properties. Within 3 months, the patient started abusing clonazepam (8 mg/day) and alcohol with the same pattern as before and therefore had to be readmitted to hospital. However, soon after his second discharge from the hospital, he relapsed into alcohol abuse and started taking quetiapine, either alone or in combination with clonazepam, in ever-increasing doses, which reached 1000 mg/day over a couple of weeks. It should be noted that quetiapine can be obtained without a special prescription in Greece. He mentioned that clonazepam alone could not ease the symptoms of anxiety, expansive mood, irritability, confusion, and dizziness that alcohol consumption produced and that the combination of high doses of quetiapine and clonazepam produced the "perfect result" for him. He also felt that quetiapine augmented clonazepam's sedative action.

At the time of the start of his present hospitalization, the patient was taking 1000 mg of quetiapine and 16 mg of clonazepam per day. The pattern of abuse he had developed consisted of drinking a bottle of whiskey every second evening followed by quetiapine and clonazepam intake, which was repeated on the next day without alcohol consumption. It is noteworthy that the patient still abused these drugs when alcohol was not accessible to him and that he often preferred this combination to alcohol. Moreover, although sometimes he could manage to remain abstinent from alcohol during short time periods, he could not refrain from taking quetiapine and clonazepam. According to the

patient's report, while taking the above combination of drugs, he was feeling well and could adequately function in his everyday life. Conversely, upon discontinuation he manifested tremor, anxiety, sleep disturbance, and irritability, as well as significant impairment in his family and professional life.

Sporadic quetiapine misuse has been reported in special populations during the last couple of years.⁸⁻¹¹ Actually, a "street" value has been allotted to quetiapine on the black market, where it is preferably used for its sedative effect,¹² like benzodiazepines are commonly abused. This is the first case in the literature describing simultaneous abuse of alcohol, a benzodiazepine, and quetiapine. Furthermore, the present case illustrates the clinical difficulties encountered with substance-abusing patients when it is often impossible to distinguish between the true therapeutic effect of drugs taken by patients, their intention to self-medicate for anxiety and withdrawal symptoms, and the drug's potential primary rewarding effect.

Although the underlying mechanism for such a misuse is not known, some suggestions can be advanced. It has been established that the rewarding properties of addictive drugs depend largely on their capacity to increase mesolimbic dopamine^{13,14}; also, typical high-potency antipsychotics, which are potent blockers of dopamine release in the mesocorticolimbic system, have been reported to either increase or decrease substance use.^{15,16} On the other hand, atypical antipsychotics with significantly lower dopamine blockade potential, such as quetiapine, might be more beneficial for the treatment of substance abuse.²⁻⁵ Therefore, although, in principle, quetiapine at regular doses may transiently block the dopaminergic reward pathway in the limbic system, at high doses it may exert a more potent dopamine antagonistic effect, promoting a self-feeding pattern of substance misuse instead.^{17,18} Another putative mechanism of action is related to the probably dose-dependent sedative properties of quetiapine due to histamine H₁ and α_1 -adrenergic receptor antagonism.¹⁷

In conclusion, even though quetiapine may be indicated for the treatment of substance dependence, its potential for misuse should not be underestimated; therefore, it should be used with caution, especially in patients with a history of polysubstance abuse. Also, the possibility of misusing other atypical antipsychotics sharing common properties with quetiapine cannot be excluded.

Drs. Paparrigopoulos, Karaiskos, and Liappas report no financial affiliation or other relationship relevant to the subject of this letter.

REFERENCES

1. American Psychiatric Association. Practice guidelines for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia. In: Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Psychiatric Disorders: Compendium 2000. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000:628-662
2. Potvin S, Stip E, Roy JY. Clozapine, quetiapine and olanzapine among addicted schizophrenic patients: towards testable hypotheses. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 2003;18:121-132
3. Sattar SP, Bhatia SC, Petty F. Potential benefits of quetiapine in the treatment of substance dependence disorders. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2004;29:452-457
4. Liappas J, Paparrigopoulos T, Tzavellas E, et al. Amisulpride may help alcoholics in the early postdetoxification phase. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2005;25:396-398
5. Croissant B, Klein O, Gehrlein L, et al. Quetiapine in relapse prevention in alcoholics suffering from craving and affective symptoms: a case series. *Eur Psychiatry* 2006;21:570-573
6. Monnelly EP, Ciraulo DA, Knapp C, et al. Quetiapine for treatment of

- alcohol dependence. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2004;24:532–535
7. Goldstein BI, Diamantouros A, Schaffer A, et al. Pharmacotherapy of alcoholism in patients with co-morbid psychiatric disorders. *Drugs* 2006;66:1229–1237
 8. Pierre JM, Shnyder I, Wirshing DA, et al. Intranasal quetiapine abuse. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004;161:1718
 9. Hussain MZ, Waheed W, Hussain S. Intravenous quetiapine abuse. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005;162:1755–1756
 10. Pinta ER, Taylor RE. Quetiapine addiction? *Am J Psychiatry* 2007;164:174–175
 11. Morin AK. Possible intranasal quetiapine misuse. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2007;64:723–725
 12. Tarasoff G, Osti K. Black-market value of antipsychotics, antidepressants, and hypnotics in Las Vegas, Nevada. *Am J Psychiatry* 2007;164:350
 13. Hyman SE, Malenka RC. Addiction and the brain: the neurobiology of compulsion and its persistence. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2001;2:695–703
 14. Tupala E, Tiihonen J. Dopamine and alcoholism: neurobiological basis of ethanol abuse. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2004;28:1221–1247
 15. Wiesbeck GA, Weijers HG, Lesch OM, et al. Flupenthixol decanoate and relapse prevention in alcoholics: results from a placebo-controlled study. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2001;36:329–334
 16. Ohuoha DC, Maxwell JA, Thomson LE 3rd, et al. Effect of dopamine receptor antagonists on cocaine subjective effects: a naturalistic case study. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 1997;14:249–258
 17. AstraZeneca. Seroquel: summary of product characteristics. July 2007. Available at: http://www.seroquel.info/_mshost514871/content/856032/Product-Characteristics. Accessibility verified November 16, 2007
 18. Tauscher-Wisniewski S, Kapur S, Tauscher J, et al. Quetiapine: an effective antipsychotic in first-episode schizophrenia despite only transiently high dopamine-2 receptor blockade. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2002;63:992–997

Thomas Paparrigopoulos, M.D., Ph.D.
Dimitris Karaikos, M.D.
John Liappas, M.D., Ph.D.
 Department of Psychiatry
 Athens University Medical School
 Eginition Hospital
 Athens, Greece

Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor Add-On Therapy for the Negative Symptoms of Schizophrenia

Sir: I read with interest the recent meta-analysis by Sepehry et al.¹ of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) add-on therapy for negative symptoms of schizophrenia and am concerned that their negative conclusion largely reflects methodological limitations.

The small number and heterogeneity of available studies make meta-analytic analyses at this time particularly vulnerable to confounds.² Further, optimal use was not made of available studies: all participants in our original study³ received typical antipsychotics (the only ones available then), a fact easily clarified, and thus were eligible for subanalyses of that group. It was also plausible to include a controlled comparison of the effect of an SSRI and a non-SSRI antidepressant (rather than placebo) on negative symptoms.⁴ Given the critical importance of differentiating primary and secondary negative symptoms, including studies not designed for negative symptoms introduces unacceptable confounds, an effect suggested by the positive results of the subanalysis when such studies are excluded.¹

More generally, some issues require particular attention in studies of negative symptoms and augmentation. First, evidence

that negative symptoms differ in propensity and rapidity of response^{5,6} requires use of assessment tools with adequate resolutions to detect different symptom response profiles. Second, while an antidepressant effect alone appears insufficient to ameliorate negative symptoms and a serotonergic mechanism is necessary to ameliorate them,⁴ it is not known whether all SSRIs are effective. Inappropriate groupings of SSRIs can lead to confounds and may explain some discrepancies between reports. The effective doses of SSRI for anti-negative symptom effect are not known. There is evidence that they are lower than needed for antidepressant effect for fluvoxamine,⁷ but data for other SSRIs are lacking. Third, the type of concomitant antipsychotic may be important, not only because of the presence/absence of serotonergic activity but also because the residual negative symptom profile at the start of augmentation may differ in patients treated with atypical and typical antipsychotics.

Undoubtedly, investigation of this complex area would benefit from better understanding of the mechanisms of SSRI-antipsychotic interaction. Of interest in this regard are our recent findings of unique changes in GABA-ergic, dopaminergic, and serotonergic systems in selected areas of the rat brain following coadministration of haloperidol and fluvoxamine.^{8,9} These changes differed from those of the individual drugs and were not explained by pharmacokinetic interactions, and, importantly, some were similar to those following clozapine administration. Preliminary clinical studies showed changes in GABAergic, cytokine, and G-protein system markers in peripheral mononuclear cells of schizophrenia patients after addition of an SSRI to preexisting antipsychotic treatment.¹⁰

Hopefully, the new insights into the mechanisms of augmentation treatment and possible identification of biological markers will advance our investigations of negative symptoms and their treatment.

Mr. Sepehry was shown this letter and declined to comment.

Dr. Silver reports no financial affiliation or other relationship relevant to the subject of this letter.

REFERENCES

1. Sepehry AA, Potvin S, Elie R, et al. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) add-on therapy for the negative symptoms of schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2007;68:604–610
2. Davey Smith G, Egger M. Meta-analysis: unresolved issues and future developments. *BMJ* 1998;316:221–225
3. Silver H, Nassar A. Fluvoxamine improves negative symptoms in treated chronic schizophrenia: an add-on double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Biol Psychiatry* 1992;31:698–704
4. Silver H, Shmugliakov N. Augmentation with fluvoxamine but not maprotiline improves negative symptoms in treated schizophrenia: evidence for a specific serotonergic effect from a double-blind study. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1998;18:208–211
5. Silver H, Aharon N, Kaplan A. Add-on fluvoxamine improves primary negative symptoms: evidence for specificity from response analysis of individual symptoms. *Schizophr Bull* 2003;29:541–546
6. Silver H, Nassar A, Aharon N, et al. The onset and time course of response of negative symptoms to add-on fluvoxamine treatment. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 2003;18:87–92
7. Silver H, Barash I, Aharon N, et al. Fluvoxamine augmentation of antipsychotics improves negative symptoms in psychotic chronic schizophrenic patients: a placebo-controlled study. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 2000;15:257–261
8. Chertkow Y, Weinreb O, Youdim MB, et al. The effect of chronic co-administration of fluvoxamine and haloperidol compared to clozapine on the GABA system in the rat frontal cortex. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2006;9:287–296
9. Chertkow Y, Weinreb O, Youdim MB, et al. Dopamine and serotonin

metabolism in response to chronic administration of fluvoxamine and haloperidol combined treatment. *J Neural Transm* 2007 June 18 [epub ahead of print]

10. Chertkow Y, Weinreb O, Youdim MB, et al. Gene expression changes in peripheral mononuclear cells from schizophrenic patients treated with a combination of antipsychotic with fluvoxamine. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2007;31:1356–1362

**Henry Silver, M.B.B.S., B.MED. SCI., D.P.M.,
F.R.A.N.Z.C.P.**

Brain Behavior Laboratory
Rappaport Faculty of Medicine
Technion Institute of Technology
Hadera, Israel

Inconclusive Evidence for the Efficacy of Olanzapine in the Treatment of Negative Symptoms in Schizophrenia

Sir: In a recent systematic review of the pharmacologic treatment of primary negative symptoms in schizophrenia, my colleagues and I¹ concluded that there was a paucity of evidence regarding efficacy of treatments of primary negative symptoms and that the lack of standardized research designs had led to marked inconsistencies in results. A case in point was olanzapine's efficacy against primary negative symptoms. Of the 4 conducted trials, the only study to use selected patients with primary negative symptoms found no benefit²; 2 studies using path analysis suggested the reverse,^{3,4} while a third was ambivalent.⁵ Unfortunately, the positive finding in the recent (March 2007) small, Lilly-funded study of olanzapine in the treatment of primary negative symptoms of schizophrenia by Lindenmayer et al.⁶ does not clarify the issue.

The main design fault is Lindenmayer and colleagues' use of a first-generation antipsychotic as a comparator. The mean dose of haloperidol used (17.11 mg) invariably induces secondary negative symptoms. The authors' argument that the concomitant use of benztrapine would negate any secondary negative symptoms is spurious. While coadministration may mitigate the effects of hypodopaminergia in the nigrostriatal and tuberoinfundibular tracts, it does not do so in the mesocortical tracts. Simply put, benztrapine does not protect a patient from the negative symptoms induced by haloperidol. Anticholinergics are not a treatment for negative symptoms; in fact, cholinesterase inhibitors are putative agents for the treatment of both negative symptoms and neurocognitive deficits in psychosis. Olanzapine, which does not induce secondary negative symptoms, would therefore perform better in a head-to-head comparison even if it had no beneficial effects on primary symptoms.

Theoretically, anticholinergics exacerbate negative symptoms (and neurocognitive problems) in psychosis, though, as with sedation secondary to histamine blockade, the effect is not dopaminergic and the symptoms are "pseudonegative" in origin. This potential for exacerbation would further worsen negative symptom (and neurocognitive) scores in the haloperidol group, though the difference between the two groups would be offset to a considerable degree by olanzapine's own penchant for "pseudonegativity" (and causing cognitive deficit) through its cholinergic and substantial histaminergic blockade.

A further problem in the study by Lindenmayer et al. was the prior use of first-generation antipsychotics. The washout period was not long enough to overcome iatrogenic negative and neurocognitive symptoms, which would continue to diminish during the study period in the olanzapine group. There should

have been within-group comparisons dependent on prior medication (first-generation or second-generation antipsychotic) to estimate the extent of this effect.

Lindenmayer and colleagues are to be commended on using patients with high levels of negative symptoms and low levels of secondary confounds (positive symptoms, depression, and extrapyramidal symptoms [which are a proxy marker for the emergence of negative symptoms in the absence of concomitant anticholinergics]), but they should have further factored out any possible effects using path analysis, especially given the trend toward abatement of all 3 of these secondary confounds in the olanzapine group. Other shortcomings include not reporting between-group differences in chronicity, which plays a crucial role in determining negative symptom response to antipsychotics (B.P.M.; P. D. McGorry, Ph.D.; A. S. Stuart, B.Appl.Sci. [Hons.]; manuscript submitted; 2007); not using a quality of life scale, rendering it unclear if any reduction in Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale ratings translates into improved life quality; and the racial demographics of the study population, which may prevent the generalizability of findings to females or white individuals.

Dr. Murphy reports no financial affiliation or other relationship relevant to the subject of this letter.

REFERENCES

1. Murphy BP, Chung Y-C, Park T-W, et al. Pharmacological treatment of primary negative symptoms in schizophrenia: a systematic review. *Schizophr Res* 2006;88:5–25
2. Kopelowicz A, Zarate R, Tripodis K, et al. Differential efficacy of olanzapine for deficit and nondeficit negative symptoms in schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000;157:987–993
3. Tollefson GD, Sanger TM. Negative symptoms: a path analytic approach to a double-blind, placebo- and haloperidol-controlled clinical trial with olanzapine. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997;154:466–474
4. Alvarez E, Ciudad A, Olivares JM, et al. A randomized, 1-year follow-up study of olanzapine and risperidone in the treatment of negative symptoms in outpatients with schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2006;26:238–249
5. Lecrubier Y, Quintin P, Bouhassira M, et al. The treatment of negative symptoms and deficit states of chronic schizophrenia: olanzapine compared to amisulpride and placebo in a 6-month double-blind controlled clinical trial. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2006;114:319–327
6. Lindenmayer JP, Khan A, Iskander A, et al. A randomized controlled trial of olanzapine versus haloperidol in the treatment of primary negative symptoms and neurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2007;68:368–379

Brendan P. Murphy, F.R.A.N.Z.C.P., M.R.C.Psych.

Recovery and Prevention of Psychosis Service
Monash University
Melbourne, Australia

Dr. Lindenmayer Replies

Sir: In his letter to the editor, Dr. Murphy opines against the use of a first-generation antipsychotic as a comparator in our study,¹ stating that "the mean dose of haloperidol used (17.11 mg) invariably induces secondary negative symptoms."

However, there is little evidence that haloperidol systematically induces secondary negative symptoms. In fact, 2 recent studies^{2,3} comparing the effects of olanzapine, a second-generation antipsychotic with a very benign extrapyramidal symptom profile, with haloperidol at doses similar to those used in our study combined with benztrapine found no significant differences for positive or negative symptoms in schizophrenia between the 2 antipsychotics. Buchanan and colleagues² found no significant differences between olanzapine (20.3 mg/day)

and haloperidol (18.3 mg/day) combined with benztropine (4 mg/day) in outpatients with partially responsive schizophrenia. Also, Rosenheck and colleagues³ found almost completely equivalent effects of haloperidol compared with olanzapine in most of their outcome measures. In addition, our concomitant and systematic use of benztropine in the haloperidol group may have further reduced the potential dose effect of haloperidol on negative symptoms. In support of this interpretation is the lack of difference in extrapyramidal symptoms in the 2 treatment groups, which was also shown in the Rosenheck et al. study.³

Dr. Murphy also states that the washout period used in our study from prior use of first-generation antipsychotics may not have been long enough to overcome iatrogenic negative and neurocognitive symptoms. We did conduct a within-group comparison of prior use of first- and second-generation antipsychotics (data not reported in the published study). Both the olanzapine and the haloperidol group had comparable exposure to both first- and second-generation antipsychotics (mean length of time on prior treatment with first-generation antipsychotics for the haloperidol group was 122.31 days [N = 8] and for the olanzapine group was 129.21 days [N = 5], and mean length of time on prior treatment with second-generation antipsychotics for the haloperidol group was 121.10 days [N = 14] and for the olanzapine group was 127.23 days [N = 11]). Furthermore, measures of chronicity were entirely comparable between the 2 groups: for example, the mean (SD) age at first psychiatric hospitalization for haloperidol was 18.19 (11.23) years and for olanzapine was 18.23 (11.76) years.

Further support that our dose of haloperidol may not have been associated with the aggravating iatrogenic effects Dr. Murphy suggests comes from a recent meta-analysis by Woodward et al.,⁴ who examined the relationship between cognitive change and dose of haloperidol within the control arms of 17 prospective clinical trials comparing atypical and typical antipsychotics. Their results indicate that overall cognitive performance improved with haloperidol. Studies that used a low dose of haloperidol (< 10 mg) did not yield larger effect sizes for overall cognitive function measures or specific neuropsychological measures than studies that used a high dose (> 10 mg), although doses greater than 24 mg had deleterious effects. The mean haloperidol dose in our study was significantly below this threshold.

The authors also state that path analysis should have been used to further "factor out" any possible effects on negative symptoms of positive, depressive, and extrapyramidal symptoms. We feel that this statistical strategy has serious methodological shortcomings, which include uncertainties in the formulation of the model, recursiveness of the equations, and uncertainties in data interpretation. In addition, the causal path required in this type of analysis is specified by explicating deductive theory, and nothing in the statistical technique would indicate that the order of the paths is wrong. Finally, the sample size for this study was a total of 36 subjects. Adequate sample size is needed in path analysis to assess significance. Kline⁵ recommends 10 times as many cases as parameters.

The study described in this letter was supported by an investigator-initiated grant from Eli Lilly Pharmaceuticals.

Dr. Lindenmayer has been a consultant to Janssen and Eli Lilly and has received grant/research support from Janssen, Eli Lilly, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, and Organon.

REFERENCES

1. Lindenmayer JP, Khan A, Iskander A, et al. A randomized controlled trial of olanzapine versus haloperidol in the treatment of primary negative symptoms and neurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2007;68:368–379
2. Buchanan RW, Ball MP, Weiner E, et al. Olanzapine treatment of residual positive and negative symptoms. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005;162:124–129
3. Rosenheck R, Perlick D, Bingham S, et al. Effectiveness and cost of olanzapine and haloperidol in the treatment of schizophrenia: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2003;290:2693–2702
4. Woodward ND, Purdon SE, Meltzer HY, et al. A meta-analysis of cognitive change with haloperidol in clinical trials of atypical antipsychotics: dose effects and comparison to practice effects. *Schizophr Res* 2007;89:211–224
5. Kline RB. *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1998

Jean-Pierre Lindenmayer, M.D.

Manhattan Psychiatric Center
Psychopharmacology Research Unit
New York, New York

Hypokalemia Following Rapid Titration of Quetiapine Treatment

Sir: Hypokalemia has been reported following regular treatment with typical antipsychotics in 13 Chinese patients^{1,2} and 1 white individual³ and following treatment with clozapine, the prototype of atypical antipsychotics, in 1 Chinese patient.¹ Overdose toxicity was demonstrated in a case report on remarkable hypokalemia after combined use of 2 atypical antipsychotics: an exceedingly large dose, 100 mg, of risperidone plus a therapeutic dose, 750 mg, of quetiapine.⁴ Hypokalemia, though infrequently identified, can be a serious adverse effect of antipsychotics. For example, it may lead to QT interval prolongation and thereby life-threatening arrhythmias such as torsades de pointes.⁵ However, whether regular treatment of atypical agents other than clozapine can induce hypokalemia remains unclear.

We here report a case of hypokalemia following standard-dose quetiapine monotherapy.

Case report. Mr. A, a 22-year-old Han Chinese man living in Taiwan, experienced aloofness, alogia, and social isolation for 3 months. His parents led him to visit a general physician clinic in 2006, where schizophrenia was suspected. He was given quetiapine 200 mg h.s. Two hours after the first dose of quetiapine, he developed drowsiness and was sent to our emergency department. Laboratory examination revealed electrocardiographic, urine/blood routine, and biochemistry measurements within normal limits, except for a plasma potassium level of 2.8 mmol/L and plasma sodium level of 137 mmol/L, indicating hypokalemia. The tentative diagnosis by an experienced psychiatrist was prodromal schizophrenia. Quetiapine treatment was discontinued, and supportive treatment with intravenous potassium supplementation was instituted in the intensive care unit. He was fully recovered; levels of electrolytes (including a plasma potassium level of 3.7 mmol/L), blood urea nitrogen, serum creatine, and serum aldosterone were within normal limits, and he was discharged 3 days later. Two weeks following his discharge, these laboratory data remained within normal limits, and he experienced no physical or neurologic sequelae.

Two months later, after a conflict with his father, Mr. A attempted suicide by taking 600 mg of the quetiapine prescribed earlier by the general physician. Conscious disturbances recurred. Plasma potassium concentration, checked at the emergency department, had decreased to 2.9 mmol/L and returned to 3.6 mmol/L after potassium supply. During the 2 episodes, the

patient was receiving no other medications. His score on the Naranjo adverse drug reaction probability scale⁶ was 9.

Though the mechanism of drug-related hypokalemia is unclear, antipsychotics have been reported to inhibit the secretion of antidiuretic hormone (ADH) and/or the reabsorption of water and electrolytes in renal tubule, leading to an increase in the extrusion of potassium.^{2,3} How antipsychotics might inhibit ADH secretion also requires elucidation. However, it has been suggested that D₂ agonists may increase ADH levels⁷ and that ADH secretion may relate to dopamine activity.⁸

According to previous reports (as well as the current one), Han Chinese people may be more likely to experience hypokalemia with therapeutic dosages of typical or atypical antipsychotics than other ethnic populations. It has been indicated that Asian populations may differ from other races in response to antipsychotics⁹ and require lower drug doses than white individuals^{10–12}. Both pharmacokinetic factors^{13,14} and pharmacodynamic factors⁹ need to be considered. Since quetiapine is predominantly metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A¹⁵ and Asian individuals have lower CYP3A activity than white individuals,¹⁶ this between-race difference in pharmacokinetics may contribute to the current case.

In addition, as shown above, dopamine D₂ neurotransmission may modulate ADH secretion and thereby electrolyte imbalance,^{7,8} and variances of the D₂ receptor gene (DRD2) may differ between populations.¹⁷ For example, the Cys allele of the DRD2 Ser311Cys polymorphism is more common in Han Chinese than in the Western populations and is associated with better treatment response.¹⁷ This pharmacodynamic factor may also play a role in the current case.

Other potential contributory factors in our case deserve attention, too. First, the initial dose, 200 mg, received by the current patient was much higher than the recommended dose of 25 mg b.i.d.—not to mention his suicide attempt using an overdose at 600 mg. Second, what the patient suffered was prodromal schizophrenia, and such individuals may be more vulnerable to antipsychotic side effects than patients with schizophrenia.¹⁸

This case suggests that quetiapine monotherapy, particularly at rapid titration, in Han Chinese, or in patients who have not yet achieved the definite diagnosis of schizophrenia, could cause hypokalemia. For such circumstances or populations, extra caution should be exerted and regular monitoring of electrocardiograms and plasma potassium levels is warranted.

This work was supported by grants NSC-95-2314-B-006-119 and NSC-95-2314-B-006-118-MY3 from the National Science Council, grant NHRI-EX-95-9405PI from the National Health Research Institutes, grant CCMP94-RD-041 from the Committee on Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy at the Department of Health of Executive Yuan, and grant 94DOH004 from the National Research Program for Genomic Medicine, Taiwan. The authors report no other financial affiliation or relationship relevant to the subject of this letter.

REFERENCES

1. Lao HY. Antipsychotic drugs and hypokalemia. *Zhonghua Shen Jing Jing Shen Ke Za Zhi* 1991;24:75–76
2. Song SY. Cardiotoxicity of antipsychotic drugs: abnormal ECG in 1266 cases. *Zhonghua Shen Jing Jing Shen Ke Za Zhi* 1989;22:77–79

3. Aunsholt NA. Prolonged Q-T interval and hypokalemia caused by haloperidol. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1989;79:411–412
4. Malik AR, Wolf PK, Ravasia S. Hypokalemia from risperidone and quetiapine overdose. *Can J Psychiatry* 2005;50:76
5. Welch R, Chue P. Antipsychotic agents and QT changes. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2000;25:154–160
6. Naranjo CA, Busto U, Sellers EM. Difficulties in assessing adverse drug reactions in clinical trials. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 1982;6:651–657
7. Fraioli S, Cioli I, Nencini P. Amphetamine reinstates polydipsia induced by chronic exposure to quinpirole, a dopaminergic D₂ agonist, in rats. *Behav Brain Res* 1997;89:199–215
8. Bersani G, Pesaresi L, Orlandi V, et al. Atypical antipsychotics and polydipsia: a cause or a treatment? *Hum Psychopharmacol* 2007;22:103–107
9. Lin KM, Poland RE, Lau JK, et al. Haloperidol and prolactin concentrations in Asians and Caucasians. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1988;8:195–201
10. Lin KM, Poland RE, Nuccio I, et al. A longitudinal assessment of haloperidol doses and serum concentrations in Asian and Caucasian schizophrenic patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 1989;146:1307–1311
11. Lane HY, Chang YC, Chang WH, et al. Effects of gender and age on plasma levels of clozapine and its metabolites: analyzed by critical statistics. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1999;60:36–40
12. Lane HY, Chiu WC, Chou JCY, et al. Risperidone in acutely exacerbated schizophrenia: dosing strategies and plasma levels. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2000;61:209–214
13. Jann MW, Chang WH, Lam YW, et al. Comparison of haloperidol and reduced haloperidol plasma levels in four different ethnic populations. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 1992;16:193–202
14. Ereshefsky L. Pharmacologic and pharmacokinetic considerations in choosing an antipsychotic. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1999;60(suppl 10):20–30
15. DeVane CL, Nemeroff CB. Clinical pharmacokinetics of quetiapine: an atypical antipsychotic. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2001;40:509–522
16. Shimada T, Yamazaki H, Mimura M, et al. Interindividual variations in human liver cytochrome P-450 enzymes involved in the oxidation of drugs, carcinogens and toxic chemicals: studies with liver microsomes of 30 Japanese and 30 Caucasians. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1994;270:414–423
17. Lane HY, Lee CC, Chang YC, et al. Effects of dopamine D₂ receptor Ser311Cys polymorphism and clinical factors on risperidone efficacy for positive and negative symptoms and social function. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2004;7:461–470
18. Bechdolf A, Ruhrmann S, Wagner M, et al. Interventions in the initial prodromal states of psychosis in Germany: concept and recruitment. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 2005;48:S45–S48

Yu-Chung Lin, M.D.

Department of Psychiatry
Jianan Mental Hospital
Tainan, Taiwan

Hao-Zong Chen, M.D.

Department of Neurology
Chia-I Hospital
Chia-I, Taiwan

Ta-Jen Chang, M.D.

Department of Psychiatry
Jianan Mental Hospital
Tainan, Taiwan

Hsien-Yuan Lane, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Psychiatry
China Medical University and Hospital
Taichung, Taiwan