

The Role of the Serotonergic and Noradrenergic Neurotransmitter Systems in the Treatment of Psychological and Physical Symptoms of Depression

Maurizio Fava, M.D.

Major depressive disorder is a medical condition that includes abnormalities of affect and mood, cognition, and physical functioning. In particular, as many as 76% of patients suffering from depression are found to report somatic symptoms, including various types of pain such as headaches, stomach pain, back pain, and vague, poorly localized pain. Although the pathophysiology of depression is still unknown, there is significant evidence for abnormalities of the norepinephrine (NE) and serotonin (5-HT) neurotransmitter systems in depressive disorders. Interestingly, both 5-HT and NE also appear to exert analgesic effects via descending pain pathways and therefore play a modulating role in pain. There are many effective antidepressant treatments available. However, residual symptoms are relatively common, among both partial responders and responders without remission. A recent study from our group has shown that responders who have not achieved remission have significantly more somatic symptoms than remitters following 8 weeks of treatment with fluoxetine. These data may suggest that antidepressants that are particularly effective in the treatment of pain and painful physical symptoms may yield higher remission rates in major depressive disorder.

(J Clin Psychiatry 2003;64[suppl 13]:26–29)

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a medical condition that includes abnormalities of affect and mood, cognition (such as inappropriate guilt and feelings of worthlessness), and physical functioning (such as fatigue, appetite and sleep disturbances, and painful physical symptoms). In particular, as many as 76% of patients suffering from depression report somatic symptoms, including various types of pain such as headaches, stomach pain, back pain, and vague, poorly localized pain.^{1,2} Although the biological basis of MDD is still uncertain, monoamines, such as serotonin (5-HT) and norepinephrine (NE), have been the primary focus of many etiological theories of MDD.

NEUROTRANSMITTER SYSTEMS

In particular, the putative role of 5-HT in MDD has been extensively studied, partly because of the broad therapeutic effects in depression of drugs such as the se-

lective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs). While some, but not all, studies show reduced endocrine responses to indirect or direct 5-HT agonists in MDD,³ neuroendocrine studies in depression generally show evidence of impaired 5-HT function in the brain, but it is disputed whether or not this impairment resolves with clinical recovery.⁴ A recent study used the endocrine response to the SSRI citalopram to study brain 5-HT function in acute and recovered depressed subjects relative to healthy controls. Prolactin responses to citalopram were blunted similarly in both acutely depressed and recovered subjects, while cortisol responses were blunted in acutely depressed patients but not in recovered subjects.⁴ These data support the view that some aspects of impaired 5-HT neurotransmission may be trait markers of vulnerability to depression, with the normalization of the cortisol response to citalopram perhaps indicating resolution of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysfunction. Postmortem studies have also shown both an increase in the density of serotonin 5-HT₂ receptor binding sites and a decreased number of serotonin 5-HT transporter binding sites in brain tissue of depressed patients and suicide victims,⁵ as well as an increase in the serotonin 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors in the midbrain dorsal raphe of suicide victims with major depression.⁶ This postmortem evidence for decreased serotonergic activity in MDD is further supported by the results of recent imaging studies that have shown widespread reductions in serotonin 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptor binding with positron emission

From the Depression Clinical and Research Program, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston.

This article was supported by an educational grant from Eli Lilly and Company.

Corresponding author and reprints: Maurizio Fava, M.D., Depression Clinical and Research Program, Massachusetts General Hospital, ACC 812, 15 Parkman St., Boston, MA 02114 (e-mail: Mfava@Partners.org).

tomography⁷ and a reduction in the density of brain serotonin transporter binding sites among depressed patients with single photon emission computed tomography.⁸

Similarly, NE neurotransmission dysregulations have been identified in patients with MDD. Postmortem studies have shown a selective increase in the high-affinity conformation of the brain α_{2A} -adrenoceptors as well as decreased binding to NE transporters in the locus ceruleus of depressed patients.⁹ The latter finding was interpreted as suggesting a compensatory down-regulation of this transporter protein in response to an insufficient availability of NE at the synaptic level.⁹ Using catheters placed in an internal jugular vein, Lambert et al.¹⁰ estimated the release of NE neurotransmitters at rest and following intravenous desipramine hydrochloride in 19 healthy volunteers and in 9 patients with nonbipolar depressive illness refractory to medication. The brains of these patients exhibited reduced venoarterial NE, with a normalization in NE turnover following pharmacologic blockade of the NE transporter with desipramine. A recent review by Blier¹¹ underlines that many overlaps exist in the effects of NE and 5-HT and that the complex behavioral patterns of depression may reflect interactions between these 2 neurotransmitter systems, with the projections of 5-HT neurons having an inhibitory effect on NE neurons. Another review by Ressler and Nemeroff¹² concludes that there is abundant evidence for abnormalities of the NE and 5-HT neurotransmitter systems in depressive disorders, with the perturbation of these systems modulating and being modulated by other neurobiological systems that, in turn, are likely to mediate symptoms of depression.

As discussed by Fava and Kendler³ in their recent review of the literature, available data on the neurobiology of depression seem to suggest that a single neurobiological explanation for depression may not be realistic and that multiple neurotransmitter systems (i.e., 5-HT, NE) and intracellular signaling pathways are most likely affected in MDD.

TREATMENT OF MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDER

Several treatment approaches to MDD have been found to be effective in randomized clinical trials. These approaches include psychotherapy, antidepressant medications, and electroconvulsive treatment (ECT). The use of ECT typically generates response rates that tend to be higher than any other treatment, but its present use is mostly limited to patients with MDD who are either highly resistant to treatment or psychotic. In the realm of psychotherapy, 2 types of time-limited psychotherapy have been shown to be effective in treating MDD, interpersonal psychotherapy and cognitive therapy.¹³ Antidepressant drugs have typically been classified on the basis of their effects on the neuronal synapses, such as the blockade of the reuptake of neurotransmitters, the blockade of certain neuro-

transmitter receptors, or the inhibition of the monoamine oxidase enzymes. The only exception is the tricyclic antidepressant (TCA) group, which is identified on the basis of its distinctive chemical structure. The first antidepressant drugs marketed in both the United States and Europe were the monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) and the TCAs. The latter group of drugs was known to have relatively higher NE reuptake inhibiting activity (such as in the case of the secondary amine TCAs—desipramine and nortriptyline) or to have more of a mixed effect on the uptake of 5-HT and NE, although still predominantly noradrenergic (such as in the case of the tertiary amine TCAs—amitriptyline and imipramine). The only exception to this rule is clomipramine, which is predominantly a serotonergic TCA. TCAs also block several neurotransmitter receptors, raising issues of tolerability because of significant anticholinergic effects and sedation/weight gain (presumably related to histamine H_1 receptor blockade). Among the drugs in which the main pharmacologic effect is the reuptake of neurotransmitters, the relative selectivity for a particular system led to the development of both SSRIs, such as fluoxetine, sertraline, citalopram, and paroxetine, and serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), such as venlafaxine and duloxetine. Some antidepressants have been classified primarily for blocking serotonin 5-HT₂ receptors (i.e., nefazodone, trazodone), others for blocking α_2 autoreceptors and heteroreceptors as well as serotonin 5-HT₂ and 5-HT₃ receptors (i.e., mirtazapine). Finally, bupropion is considered to affect both dopamine and NE neurotransmission.

Despite the availability of effective treatments, psychiatrists are still faced with the dilemma that MDD is widely undertreated and that only approximately 50% of all MDD outpatients initially exposed to either a time-limited psychotherapy targeted for depression or a single antidepressant medication respond to treatment. The remaining 50% continue to experience symptoms and remain functionally impaired after initial treatment.¹⁴ This large percentage of initial nonresponders and partial responders presents a significant challenge to clinicians, who must seek additional therapeutic measures for many of their patients in order to achieve remission.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ These next-step treatment approaches, employed after the patient does not initially respond adequately to antidepressants, have not been studied in any systematic fashion (although there are controlled clinical trials of certain treatment strategies such as lithium augmentation of antidepressants) and are the focus of an ongoing National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) multicenter study (Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression [STAR*D]) that involves the enrollment and 12-month follow-up of over 4000 patients with MDD.¹⁸

Even among responders, residual symptoms are common,¹⁹ and the term *response without remission* has been used to describe this clinical phenomenon. As shown in

the review by Nierenberg and Wright,²⁰ many depressed patients improve but fail to achieve full remission with antidepressant treatment and continue to have residual symptoms, which cause distress and dysfunction and confer a greater risk of relapse and recurrence compared with patients who become symptom-free. These investigators conclude that clinical trials of antidepressants have shown that some dual-acting antidepressants (e.g., SNRIs, clomipramine) may have higher remission rates and fewer residual symptoms than SSRIs.

Given that residual symptoms following antidepressant treatment are relatively common, as a result of either partial response or response without remission, it is important that we, as clinicians, assess systematically both psychological and physical symptoms. Many patients with MDD who have improved significantly with treatment may still be suffering from psychological and somatic symptoms. For example, our recent study²¹ showed that responders (50% or greater reduction in depressive symptoms) who have not achieved remission have significantly more somatic symptoms than remitters following 8 weeks of treatment with fluoxetine. These data may suggest that antidepressants that are particularly effective in the treatment of painful physical symptoms may yield higher remission rates in patients with MDD. In fact, we have raised the question²² as to whether, in the event the treatment of somatic symptoms with an antidepressant renders patients pain-free and/or achieves a significant reduction in aches and pains, the physical and somatic symptom improvement will bring about a more rapid and perhaps more robust improvement in depression. Future studies are needed to elucidate this relationship between the treatment of somatic symptoms and remission to determine whether treatments that are particularly effective with respect to somatic symptoms lead to greater rates of remission of MDD. There is a large body of clinical experience using TCAs in the treatment of chronic pain; TCAs appear to have greater analgesic efficacy than SSRIs.^{23–26} TCAs inhibit NE and 5-HT reuptake, which may enhance the activity of the neuronal network implicated in the diffuse noxious inhibitory controls.²⁷

In a review of the literature of antidepressants in persistent pain, Sindrup and Jensen²⁶ conclude that TCAs with both NE and 5-HT inhibition (e.g., amitriptyline) are more effective than noradrenergic TCAs (e.g., desipramine) in providing pain relief. Thus, pain control may require a combination of serotonergic and noradrenergic inhibition. This is consistent with data indicating that both 5-HT and NE exert analgesic effects via descending pain pathways.^{28–30} This finding may also explain the increased efficacy of TCAs, compared with SSRIs, in providing pain relief, as reported by some studies.³¹ It can also explain the recently reported success of the SNRI duloxetine in treating both depression and painful physical symptoms among depressed patients³² and of venlafaxine, another SNRI, in

treating neuropathic pain.³³ Mirtazapine, a histamine H₁ receptor blocker with dual effects on 5-HT and NE, was also reported recently to be efficacious in pain syndromes among cancer patients.³⁴

In summary, there is some evidence of a shared neurotransmitter brain/body connection that may account for the relatively greater efficacy of antidepressant drugs affecting both 5-HT and NE in the treatment of psychological and physical symptoms of depression.

Drug names: amitriptyline (Elavil, Ender, and others), bupropion (Wellbutrin and others), citalopram (Celexa), clomipramine (Anafranil and others), desipramine (Norpramin and others), fluoxetine (Prozac and others), imipramine (Tofranil and others), mirtazapine (Remeron), nefazodone (Serzone), nortriptyline (Aventyl and others), paroxetine (Paxil), sertraline (Zoloft), trazodone (Desyrel and others), venlafaxine (Effexor).

Disclosure of off-label usage: The author of this article has determined that, to the best of his knowledge, duloxetine has not been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for treatment of major depressive disorder.

REFERENCES

1. Corruble E, Guelfi JD. Pain complaints in depressed inpatients. *Psychopathology* 2000;33:307–309
2. Kroenke K, Price RK. Symptoms in the community: prevalence, classification, and psychiatric comorbidity. *Arch Intern Med* 1993; 153:2474–2480
3. Fava M, Kendler KS. Major depressive disorder. *Neuron* 2000;28: 335–341
4. Bhagwagar Z, Whale R, Cowen PJ. State and trait abnormalities in serotonin function in major depression. *Br J Psychiatry* 2002;180:24–28
5. Owens MJ, Nemeroff CB. Role of serotonin in the pathophysiology of depression: focus on the serotonin transporter. *Clin Chem* 1994;40: 288–295
6. Stockmeier CA, Shapiro LA, Dilley GE, et al. Increase in serotonin-1A autoreceptors in the midbrain of suicide victims with major depression: postmortem evidence for decreased serotonin activity. *J Neurosci* 1998; 18:7394–7401
7. Sargent PA, Kjaer KH, Bench CJ, et al. Brain serotonin 1A receptor binding measured by positron emission tomography with [11C]WAY-100635: effects of depression and antidepressant treatment. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000;57:174–180
8. Malison RT, Price LH, Berman R, et al. Reduced brain serotonin transporter availability in major depression as measured by [123I]-2 beta-carbomethoxy-3beta-(4-iodophenyl) tropane and single photon emission computed tomography. *Biol Psychiatry* 1998;44:1090–1098
9. Klimek V, Stockmeier C, Overholser J, et al. Reduced levels of norepinephrine transporters in the locus coeruleus in major depression. *J Neurosci* 1997;17:8451–8458
10. Lambert G, Johansson M, Agren H, et al. Reduced brain norepinephrine and dopamine release in treatment-refractory depressive illness: evidence in support of the catecholamine hypothesis of mood disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000;57:787–793
11. Blier P. Crosstalk between the norepinephrine and serotonin systems and its role in the antidepressant response. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2001;26(suppl):S3–S10
12. Ressler KJ, Nemeroff CB. Role of serotonergic and noradrenergic systems in the pathophysiology of depression and anxiety disorders. *Depress Anxiety* 2000;12(suppl 1):2–19
13. Jarrett RB, Rush AJ. Short-term psychotherapy of depressive disorders: current status and future directions. *Psychiatry* 1994;57:115–132
14. Fava M, Davidson KG. Definition and epidemiology of treatment-resistant depression. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 1996;19:179–200
15. Fava M. Augmentation and combination strategies in treatment-resistant depression. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2001;62(suppl 18):4–11

16. Fava M. Management of nonresponse and intolerance: switching strategies. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2000;61(suppl 2):10–12
17. Fava M. New approaches to the treatment of refractory depression. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2000;61(suppl 1):26–32
18. Fava M, Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, et al, for the STAR*D Investigators Group. Background and rationale for the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) study. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2003;26:1–38
19. Nierenberg AA, Keefe BR, Leslie VC, et al. Residual symptoms in depressed patients who respond acutely to fluoxetine. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1999;60:221–225
20. Nierenberg AA, Wright EC. Evolution of remission as the new standard in the treatment of depression. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1999;60(suppl 22):7–11
21. Denninger JW, Mahal Y, Alpert JE, et al. The relationship between somatic symptoms and depression. Presented at the 155th annual meeting of the American Psychiatric Association; May 18–23, 2002; Philadelphia, Pa
22. Fava M. Somatic symptoms, depression, and antidepressant treatment. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2002;63:305–307
23. Ansari A. The efficacy of newer antidepressants in the treatment of chronic pain: a review of current literature. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2000;7:257–277
24. Collins SL, Moore RA, McQuay HJ, et al. Antidepressant and anticonvulsants for diabetic neuropathy and post herpetic neuralgia: a quantitative systematic review. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2000;20:449–458
25. Lynch M. Antidepressants as analgesics: a review of randomized controlled trials. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2001;26:30–36
26. Sindrup SH, Jensen TS. Antidepressants in the treatment of neuropathic pain. In: Hanson PT, Fields HL, Hill RG, et al, eds. *Neuropathic Pain: Pathophysiology and Treatment, Progress in Pain Research and Management*, vol 12. Seattle, Wash: IASP Press; 2001:169–183
27. Fields HL, Basbaum AI. Endogenous pain control mechanisms. In: Wall PD, Melzack R, eds. *Textbook of Pain*. London, England: Churchill Livingstone; 1984:142–152
28. Jones SL. Descending noradrenergic influences on pain. *Prog Brain Res* 1991;88:381–394
29. Richardson BP. Serotonin and nociception. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1990;600:511–519
30. Willis WD, Westlund KN. Neuroanatomy of the pain system and the pathways that modulate pain. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 1997;14:2–31
31. Max MB, Lynch SA, Muir J, et al. Effects of desipramine, amitriptyline, and fluoxetine on pain in diabetic neuropathy. *N Engl J Med* 1992;326:1250–1256
32. Detke MJ, Lu Y, Goldstein DJ, et al. Duloxetine, 60 mg once daily, for major depressive disorder: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2002;63:308–315
33. Tasmuth T, Hartel B, Kalso E. Venlafaxine in neuropathic pain following treatment of breast cancer. *Eur J Pain* 2002;6:17–24
34. Theobald DE, Kirsh KL, Holtsclaw E, et al. An open-label, crossover trial of mirtazapine (15 and 30 mg) in cancer patients with pain and other distressing symptoms. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2002;23:442–447