

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

Playing It Safe: A Video Game Probing the Relationship Between Addiction, Gender, and Avoidance

Zhenhao Shi, PhD^{a,b}; An-Li Wang, PhD^b; and Daniel D. Langleben, MD^{a,b,*}

Heroin addiction is a growing public health problem in the United States, driven by an epidemic of opioid painkiller misuse.¹ Individuals with opioid use disorders show a wide range of behavioral abnormalities that may have diagnostic and prognostic value.^{2,3} Avoidance is a common behavior intended to prevent aversive experiences.⁴ However, avoidance that exceeds the level of impending threat⁵ is maladaptive and could be symptomatic of psychopathology.^{2,6,7}

In an innovative and thought-provoking study that appears in this issue of *JCP*, Sheynin et al⁸ used a video game to study avoidance behavior in heroin-dependent patients. In this paradigm, inspired by Molet et al,⁹ players control a spaceship and gain points by shooting enemy spaceships and avoiding being shot down.^{9,10} Warnings about an impending attack by enemy craft were displayed periodically on the screen. Players could avoid being shot down and losing points by “hiding” their virtual spaceship. However, a hiding spaceship could not shoot down enemy craft, preventing the player from accruing game points. During the acquisition phase of the task, warnings were followed by an attack from enemy craft. During the extinction phase, warnings were no longer followed by an attack. Authors found that while male patients and controls showed comparable avoidance during a virtual attack, male patients hid their spaceships more during the warning periods and were slower to stop hiding during the extinction trials. In contrast, there was no difference between female patients and controls.

Sheynin et al previously reported using this task to capture individual differences in vulnerability to anxiety,¹⁰ supporting its potential clinical relevance. Studies show that individuals with substance use disorders (SUD) tend to downplay the severity of their disease and are reluctant to actively cope with stressful real-life issues in general.^{3,11–13} Given the association between maladaptive avoidance and poor SUD treatment outcomes,^{14,15} reducing avoidance has become one of the key objectives in some addiction recovery programs.^{11,16} The computerized video game paradigm reported by Sheynin et al⁸ has the potential to provide a quantifiable index of maladaptive avoidance.

The male patients' excessive hiding during the warning periods of both the acquisition and extinction phases suggests greater maladaptive avoidance in this cohort. While these results are consistent with an earlier study in SUD patients showing greater harm avoidance¹³ and more difficulty learning from prior mistakes,² they seem to contrast the well-established tendency toward greater than normal risk taking in SUD patients.¹⁷ Such an apparent contradiction could be due to the multifaceted nature of avoidance behaviors that entail both cognitive and emotional components.⁴ Effective avoidance of threats requires accurate cognitive representations of the association between stimuli, behavior, and outcome.^{18,19} However, one's lower tolerance of negative emotion associated with threat is a key factor that determines the degree of avoidance.^{6,20} Therefore, maladaptive avoidance in male heroin-dependent patients could result from either impaired cognitive processes, such as insufficient working memory and cognitive flexibility,²¹ or excessive emotional reactivity to aversive events.^{13,22}

Sheynin et al⁸ found that while female patients and controls were equally good at avoiding being shot down during the video game task, male patients showed greater avoidance than the male controls. This important sex difference suggests that while the male patients' avoidance was maladaptive, female patients' avoidance was intact. All patients in the Sheynin et al⁸ study had a history of heroin dependence; however, during the study, most were maintained on methadone and a few on buprenorphine. The sex differences in neuroendocrinology and neurochemistry^{23–25} make agonist maintenance an important factor in the interpretation of the study findings. Some cognitive side effects of methadone are not sex-specific.²⁶ However, methadone lowers plasma testosterone,^{27,28} a principal male sex hormone that not only controls male reproductive function, but also promotes aggressive behavior.^{29,30} Buprenorphine has a much smaller effect on plasma testosterone.³¹ Therefore, opioid agonist maintenance treatment could modulate the differences between the male and female patients, between the male patients receiving buprenorphine and methadone, and between all male patients and controls. It is possible that the exaggerated avoidance in the male patients was in part due to the effect of methadone on testosterone levels, which could have reduced aggressive behaviors in the video game and increased hiding behaviors. Future studies could test this hypothesis by comparing methadone-maintained patients with a control group maintained on buprenorphine or long-acting naltrexone or a group treated nonpharmacologically.

Seven of 27 patients in the Sheynin et al⁸ study reported having a diagnosis of schizophrenia, while 7 more reported

^aAnnenberg Public Policy Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia

^bDepartment of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia

*Corresponding author: Daniel D. Langleben, MD, University of Pennsylvania, Department of Psychiatry, 3900 Chestnut St, Philadelphia, PA 19104 (langlebe@upenn.edu).

J Clin Psychiatry 2016;77(3):395–397

[dx.doi.org/10.4088/JCP.16com10752](https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.16com10752)

© Copyright 2016 Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc.

depression, panic disorder, bipolar disorder, or cluster B personality disorder. Schizophrenia coincides with a range of cognitive deficits from impaired language processing to poor working memory,^{32,33} functions that may be required to perform the study video game task. Considering the gender differences in the prevalence of both schizophrenia³⁴ and depression,³⁵ it would be important to ensure that these disorders were balanced across genders in Sheynin et al.⁸

Sheynin and colleagues⁸ study highlights the rapidly growing use of video games, mobile phone apps, and virtual reality techniques as outcome measures and therapeutic interventions in clinical research and practice.^{36–39} Such “gamification” increases ecological validity of the test and is especially relevant in the context of impaired motivation that hampers experimental assessments in SUD.⁴⁰ While Sheynin et al⁸ are making an important contribution to our understanding of the mechanisms of avoidance in opioid addiction, its potential clinical applications would require additional research directly linking the video game performance to the real-life maladaptive avoidance in SUD patients. It would also be interesting to determine

whether video game performance can predict treatment outcomes, similar to recent studies using neurophysiological biomarkers.^{41,42} To disentangle the cognitive and emotional mechanisms of exaggerated avoidance, one could add standard measures to the video game paradigm. For instance, the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale⁴³ or the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test⁴⁴ would address cognitive performance, and the Affective Go/No-Go Task⁴⁵ would address emotional responses. Since real life almost invariably involves social interactions, it would be interesting to examine SUD patients’ maladaptive avoidance in a social context. For example, a 2-player⁴⁶ rather than a solo video game could probe aspects of social cognition such as theory of mind, cooperation, and empathy, which are known to exhibit gender differences^{47,48} that may be affected by SUD. Finally, it is yet to be determined whether the findings in Sheynin et al⁸ can be generalized to other substances of abuse. Answering these questions will help to unravel the complex interactions between addiction, gender, and avoidance behavior and translate the approaches and results presented here to clinical practice.

Submitted: February 16, 2016; accepted February 16, 2016.

Potential conflicts of interest: None reported.

Funding/support: None reported.

REFERENCES

- Volkow ND, Frieden TR, Hyde PS, et al. Medication-assisted therapies—tackling the opioid-overdose epidemic. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370(22):2063–2066.
- Thompson LL, Claus ED, Mikulich-Gilbertson SK, et al. Negative reinforcement learning is affected in substance dependence. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2012;123(1–3):84–90.
- Verdejo-García A, Pérez-García M. Substance abusers’ self-awareness of the neurobehavioral consequences of addiction. *Psychiatry Res*. 2008;158(2):172–180.
- Krypotos AM, Efftig M, Kindt M, et al. Avoidance learning: a review of theoretical models and recent developments. *Front Behav Neurosci*. 2015;9:189.
- Barlow DH. *Anxiety and Its Disorders: The Nature and Treatment of Anxiety and Panic*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2002.
- Pittig A, Brand M, Pawlikowski M, et al. The cost of fear: avoidant decision making in a spider gambling task. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2014;28(3):326–334.
- Schneier FR, Rodebaugh TL, Blanco C, et al. Fear and avoidance of eye contact in social anxiety disorder. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2011;52(1):81–87.
- Sheynin J, Moustafa AA, Beck KD, et al. Exaggerated acquisition and resistance to extinction of avoidance behavior in treated heroin-dependent men. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2016;77(3):386–394.
- Molet M, Leconte C, Rosas JM. Acquisition, extinction and temporal discrimination in human conditioned avoidance. *Behav Processes*. 2006;73(2):199–208.
- Sheynin J, Beck KD, Pang KC, et al. Behaviourally inhibited temperament and female sex, two vulnerability factors for anxiety disorders, facilitate conditioned avoidance (also) in humans. *Behav Processes*. 2014;103:228–235.
- Ferrari JR, Groh DR, Rulka G, et al. Coming to terms with reality: predictors of self-deception within substance abuse recovery. *Addict Disord Their Treat*. 2008;7(4):210–218.
- Goldstein RZ, Craig AD, Bechara A, et al. The neurocircuitry of impaired insight in drug addiction. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2009;13(9):372–380.
- Le Bon O, Basiaux P, Streel E, et al. Personality profile and drug of choice; a multivariate analysis using Cloninger’s TCI on heroin addicts, alcoholics, and a random population group. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2004;73(2):175–182.
- Beutler LE, Moos RH, Lane G. Coping, treatment planning, and treatment outcome: discussion. *J Clin Psychol*. 2003;59(10):1151–1167.
- Eftekhari A, Turner AP, Larimer ME. Anger expression, coping, and substance use in adolescent offenders. *Addict Behav*. 2004;29(5):1001–1008.
- Shaffer HJ, Simoneau G. Reducing resistance and denial by exercising ambivalence during the treatment of addiction. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2001;20(1):99–105.
- Lane SD, Cherek DR. Analysis of risk taking in adults with a history of high risk behavior. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2000;60(2):179–187.
- Mowrer OH. Two-factor learning theory: summary and comment. *Psychol Rev*. 1951;58(5):350–354.
- Rescorla RA, Solomon RL. Two-process learning theory: relationships between Pavlovian conditioning and instrumental learning. *Psychol Rev*. 1967;74(3):151–182.
- Timpano KR, Keough ME, Traeger L, et al. General life stress and hoarding: examining the role of emotional tolerance. *Int J Cogn Ther*. 2011;4(3):263–279.
- Baldacchino A, Balfour DJ, Passetti F, et al. Neuropsychological consequences of chronic opioid use: a quantitative review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2012;36(9):2056–2068.
- Robinson TE, Berridge KC. Addiction. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2003;54(1):25–53.
- Back SE, Payne RL, Wahlquist AH, et al. Comparative profiles of men and women with opioid dependence: results from a national multisite effectiveness trial. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2011;37(5):313–323.
- Fattore L, Melis M, Fadda P, et al. Sex differences in addictive disorders. *Front Neuroendocrinol*. 2014;35(3):272–284.
- Lynch WJ, Roth ME, Carroll ME. Biological basis of sex differences in drug abuse: preclinical and clinical studies. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2002;164(2):121–137.
- Langleben DD, Ruparel K, Elman I, et al. Extended-release naltrexone modulates brain response to drug cues in abstinent heroin-dependent patients. *Addict Biol*. 2014;19(2):262–271.
- Cicero TJ, Bell RW, Wiest WG, et al. Function of the male sex organs in heroin and methadone users. *N Engl J Med*. 1975;292(17):882–887.
- Mendelson JH, Mendelson JE, Patch VD. Plasma testosterone levels in heroin addiction and during methadone maintenance. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 1975;192(1):211–217.
- Archer J. The influence of testosterone on human aggression. *Br J Psychol*. 1991;82(pt 1):1–28.
- Olweus D, Mattsson A, Schalling D, et al. Testosterone, aggression, physical, and personality dimensions in normal adolescent males. *Psychosom Med*. 1980;42(2):253–269.
- Bliesener N, Albrecht S, Schwager A, et al. Plasma testosterone and sexual function in men receiving buprenorphine maintenance for opioid dependence. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2005;90(1):203–206.
- Kurtz MM, Moberg PJ, Gur RC, et al. Approaches to cognitive remediation of neuropsychological deficits in schizophrenia: a review and meta-analysis. *Neuropsychol Rev*. 2001;11(4):197–210.
- Pomarol-Clotet E, Oh TM, Laws KR, et al. Semantic priming in schizophrenia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2008;192(2):92–97.
- Picchioni MM, Murray RM. Schizophrenia. *BMJ*. 2007;335(7610):91–95.
- Cyranowski JM, Frank E, Young E, et al.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.

- Adolescent onset of the gender difference in lifetime rates of major depression: a theoretical model. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2000;57(1):21–27.
36. Abroms LC, Leavitt LE, Van Alstyne JM, et al. A motion videogame for opioid relapse prevention. *Games Health J*. 2015;4(6):494–501.
 37. Jansari AS, Froggatt D, Edginton T, et al. Investigating the impact of nicotine on executive functions using a novel virtual reality assessment. *Addiction*. 2013;108(5):977–984.
 38. Paris MM, Carter BL, Traylor AC, et al. Cue reactivity in virtual reality: the role of context. *Addict Behav*. 2011;36(7):696–699.
 39. Son JH, Lee SH, Seok JW, et al. Virtual reality therapy for the treatment of alcohol dependence: a preliminary investigation with positron emission tomography/computerized tomography. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*. 2015;76(4):620–627.
 40. Fox LG, Barnes D. BoosterBuddy: using gamification as a compensatory strategy for motivational deficits. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2016;67(1):141–142.
 41. Brewer JA, Worhunsky PD, Carroll KM, et al. Pretreatment brain activation during stroop task is associated with outcomes in cocaine-dependent patients. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2008;64(11):998–1004.
 42. Wang AL, Elman I, Lowen SB, et al. Neural correlates of adherence to extended-release naltrexone pharmacotherapy in heroin dependence. *Transl Psychiatr*. 2015;5(3):e531.
 43. Wechsler D, Coalson DL, Raiford SE. *WAIS-IV: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale*. San Antonio, TX: Pearson; 2008.
 44. Heaton RK. *Wisconsin Card Sorting Test: Computer Version 2*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1993.
 45. Elliott R, Ogilvie A, Rubinsztein JS, et al. Abnormal ventral frontal response during performance of an affective go/no go task in patients with mania. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2004;55(12):1163–1170.
 46. Kätsyri J, Hari R, Ravaja N, et al. The opponent matters: elevated fMRI reward responses to winning against a human versus a computer opponent during interactive video game playing. *Cereb Cortex*. 2013;23(12):2829–2839.
 47. Cross SE, Madson L. Models of the self: self-construals and gender. *Psychol Bull*. 1997;122(1):5–37.
 48. Holt CL, Ellis JB. Assessing the current validity of the Bem Sex-Role Inventory. *Sex Roles*. 1998;39(11–12):929–941.

It is illegal to post this copyrighted PDF on any website.